

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/729,867	. 12/04/2000	Alexander J. Hartmann	042390.P10326	6801
7590 09/28/2005			EXAMINER	
Michael J. Ma		BALI, VIKKRAM		
BLAKELY, SO 7th Floor	OKOLOFF, TAYLOR & Z	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			2623	
Los Angeles, CA 90025			DATE MAILED: 09/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/729,867	HARTMANN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Vikkram Bali	2623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  ply be timely filed  CHS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ②     2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ T     3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matte		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.3-8.10-12.14.15.18.20-22.24.25. is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Example.	drawn from consideration.  30,31,33,34,37,38,40,41,43-4  d/or election requirement.  accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(s)	by the Examiner. ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) \( \sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) \( \sum \) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
Notice of Dialisperson's Patent Drawing Review (FTO-946)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

### Continuation Sheet (PTOL-326)

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 1,3-8,10-12,14,15,18,20-22,24,25,30,31,33,34,37,38,40,41,43-46,48,49,51,52,55,56,58 and 60-62.

Application/Control Number: 09/729,867

Art Unit: 2623

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

In response to the amendment filled on 5/6/2005, all the amendments have been entered and the action follows:

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 1, 14, 18, 21, 33, 43, 45, 51 and 58 and their dependent claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The limitation of "aggregating the difference between the first image and the second image into a noise feature vector" is not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 21, 24, 30, 45, 48, 51, and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims are claiming "software means" which is non-statutory subject matter.

Application/Control Number: 09/729,867 Page 3

Art Unit: 2623

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Distinguishing photographs and graphics on the world wide web, by Athitsos, IEEE 1997 in view of Zhang et al (US 5491627).

With respect to claim 1 (as best understood), Athitsos discloses a photograph and graphic distinguishing method that train a model using the different values of the

Application/Control Number: 09/729,867

Art Unit: 2623

images (see page 11, col. 1, lines 2-9, lines 13-19, col. 2, lines 4-8, the photographs and graphics are read as natural and artificial or slide and comic images), the training is done per the color and the amount of noise (see page 11 col. 2 first paragraph) as claimed. Furthermore, Athitsos also discloses the feature vector as the edge feature of the image, (see page 12 section 4 image metrics, and page 11 column 2, last paragraph, wherein the edge contours between the regions are considered as the distinctions in the images) as claimed in the other independent claims. However, he fails to disclose generating of noise reduced third image by subtraction of two images, as claimed. Zhang teaches the generating of noise reduced third image by subtraction of two images, (see figure 18 and col. 5, lines 9-20) as claimed.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time of invention to simply combine the two references as they are analogous because they are solving similar problem of image classification. The teaching of Zhang can be incorporated in to the Athitsos's system of image classification in order to reduce the number of false positive detections while preserving all the true positive detections (see col. 2, lines 28-30 of Zhang).

With respect to claims 3-5 as best understood, the median filter, the gaussian filter and the Wiener filter is well known in order to reduce the noise in the signal.

With respect to claim 6, Zhang further teaches the video stream of data, (the mammograms are video signal) as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 09/729,867

Art Unit: 2623

Claims 7-8, 10-12, 14-15, 18, 20-22, 24-25, 30-31, 33-34, 37-38, 40-41, 43-46, 48-49, 51-52, 55-56, 58, and 60-62 are rejected for the same reasons as set forth in the rejection of claims 1-6, because claims 7-8, 10-12, 14-15, 18, 20-22, 24-25, 30-31, 33-34, 37-38, 40-41, 43-46, 48-49, 51-52, 55-56, 58, and 60-62 are claims similar subject matter as claimed in claims 1-6.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 5/6/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the references fail to disclose "generating a noise reduced second image from the first image" and "aggregating the difference between the first image and the second image into a noise feature vector" (see paragraph connecting pages 15 and 16). Please note the rejection under 35 USCC 112 above regarding the limitations added to the claim. And, Zhang teaches the generating of noise reduced third image by subtraction of two images, (see figure 18 and col. 5, lines 9-20).

Applicant argues that the reference fails to disclose distinguishing a slide image from a comic image as claimed (see first two lines of page 18). Examiner disagrees and would like to point out that the limitations are given their broadest reasonable interpretations. The reference of Athitsos, distinguishes photographs and graphics, depending upon the

Art Unit: 2623

color of the images (see page 11 col. 2 last two paragraphs), the distinguishing of a photographs and graphics is read as distinguishing from slide from a comic.

#### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vikkram Bali whose telephone number is 571.272.7415. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM - 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amelia Au can be reached on 571.272.7414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2623

vb September 22, 2005